



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: CIVIL DIVISION OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

#4
CC
5/22/01

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/453,509	12/3/99	Beverina et al	8594-001-64

EXAMINER	
Broda	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2123	4

DATE MAILED: 5/22/01

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Heintz J.H. (3) S Broda
(2) A. Beverina (4)

Date of Interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussion of enablement rejection; discussion of Section 112(2) rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Samuel Broda

5/22/01